

4325

Orrin Frink; C. S. Ogilvy

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 57, No. 6. (Jun. - Jul., 1950), pp. 423-424.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28195006%2F07%2957%3A6%3C423%3A4%3E2.0.CO%3B2-6

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <u>http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html</u>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

It should be remarked that the series in this problem completes a set of well known formulas:

Write [0] = 1, $[n] = (1-x)(1-x^2) \cdots (1-x^n)$; then

(i)
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{x^n}{[n]} = \prod_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^k},$$

(*ii*)
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{x^{n^2}}{[n]^2} = \prod_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^k},$$

(*iii*)
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{x^{n^2}}{[n]} = \prod_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-x^{5k-4})(1-x^{5k-1})},$$

(*iv*)
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{x^n}{[n]^2} = \prod_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-x^k)^2} \sum_{m\geq 0} (-1)^m x^{(m^2+m)/2}.$$

The first two are very well known, they probably go back to Euler; (iii) is one of the famous Rogers-Ramanujan identities; while (iv) is the present problem.

In much the same way as (iv) we may derive

(v)
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{x^{2n}}{[2n]} = \prod_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^k} \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n x^{n^2}$$

which has an interesting interpretation in terms of partition functions. If p(m) is the unrestricted partition function, the right member of (v) can be written

$$\sum_{N \ge 0} x^N \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n p(N - n^2).$$

On the other hand the left member of (v) is easily seen to generate the number of partitions of an integer into parts the largest of which is even, or, by reading the conjugate graph, into an even number of parts. Denoting this partition function by $p_E(N)$, we have proved

(vi)
$$p_E(N) = p(N) - p(N-1) + p(N-4) - p(N-9) + \cdots$$

It would be interesting to see if there is a combinatorial proof of (vi).

Square Inscribed in Arbitrary Simple Closed Curve

4325 [1949, 39]. Proposed by Orrin Frink, Pennsylvania State College

Show that on every simple closed plane curve there are four points which are the vertices of a square.

Solution by C. S. Ogilvy, Trinity College, Hartford. Let m_{x_i} , or more simply, m_x , be a system of horizontal straight lines in the plane, some of which cut the curve at X_i and X'_i , or more simply X and X'. Let m_{x_1} avoid the curve by passing wholly below it, and m_{x_2} avoid the curve by passing wholly above it (Jordan.) If m_x is now moved continuously from position x_1 to position x_2 , it will pass across the curve, producing two continuous sequences of points of intersection

1950]

X and X'. In the event of concavities, choose those intersections which allow X and X' to move continuously along the curve. Thus there will be times when the motion of m_x and, say, X will be retrograde while accommodating the wanderings of X'; but at all times the motion of all three, and in particular the length XX', will be continuous functions.

Now let YY' be the perpendicular bisector of XX', meeting XX' in P and terminated by its intersections with the curve. There will be an initial (first contact) position of m_x where Y'P > YP = 0, and a final (last contact) position where 0 = Y'P < YP. But because of the continuous behavior of XX', YY' of m_y moves continuously also, and there must be some position of YY' where YP = Y'P (Bolzano). This means that there is always an inscribed rhombus whose diagonals are horizontal and vertical. It follows that there is an inscribed rhombus for any slope of the diagonals (replace the word horizontal by parallel in the first sentence).

To continue: either XX' = YY', in which case the rhombus is a square and the theorem is proved; or $XX' \neq YY'$. Suppose XX' > YY'. Then rotate m_x continuously through 90° until it reaches the position m_y ; now XX' < YY'. Therefore there must have been an intermediate position of the rhombus (Bolzano again) where XX' = YY'. This is the position of the required square.

Editorial Note. V. L. Klee refers to a paper (in Russian) by Snirelman, L. G., On certain geometrical properties of closed curves, Uspehi Matem. Nauk 10, 34-44 (1944). See also abstract in Math. Rev., 7, 35 (1946). The case where the curve is convex is given by Emch, Some properties of closed convex curves in a plane, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 35, 1913, pp. 407-412.

Function with Natural Boundary

4329 [1949, 40]. Proposed by A. W. Goodman, University of Kentucky Let θ be an irrational number, $a = e^{i\theta \pi}$. Prove that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{n^2} z^n$$

has the unit circle as a natural boundary.

Solution by R. C. Buck, Brown University. The function f(z) obeys the equation

(1)
$$f(z) - 1 = azf(a^2z).$$

f(z) has at least one singularity, z_0 , on the unit circle. From (1) $a^2 z_0$ is also a singularity; iterating this, $a^{2m} z_0$ is a singularity for $m = 0, 1, \dots$. Since θ is irrational, these points are everywhere dense on the unit circle, which is consequently a natural boundary for f(z). If θ is rational, then f(z) is a rational function.

Also solved by George Piranian.